# Chapter 5: outline

- 5.1 introduction
- 5.2 routing protocols
- link state
- distance vector
- 5.3 intra-AS routing in the Internet: OSPF
- 5.4 routing among the ISPs: BGP

- 5.5 The SDN control plane
- 5.6 ICMP: The Internet Control Message Protocol
- 5.7 Network management and SNMP

Bellman-Ford equation (dynamic programming)

let

 $d_x(y) := cost of least-cost path from x to y$  then

```
d_{x}(y) = \min_{v} \{c(x,v) + d_{v}(y) \}
cost from neighbor v to destination y
cost to neighbor v
min taken over all neighbors v of x
```

## **Bellman-Ford** example



clearly,  $d_v(z) = 5$ ,  $d_x(z) = 3$ ,  $d_w(z) = 3$ B-F equation says:  $d_{u}(z) = \min \{ c(u,v) + d_{v}(z),$  $c(u,x) + d_{x}(z),$  $c(u,w) + d_w(z)$  $= \min \{2 + 5,$ 1 + 3, 5 + 3 = 4

node achieving minimum is next hop in shortest path, used in forwarding table

- $D_x(y)$  = estimate of least cost from x to y
  - x maintains distance vector  $D_x = [D_x(y): y \in N]$
- node x:
  - knows cost to each neighbor v: c(x,v)
  - maintains its neighbors' distance vectors. For each neighbor v, x maintains
     D<sub>v</sub> = [D<sub>v</sub>(y): y ∈ N]

### key idea:

- from time-to-time, each node sends its own distance vector estimate to neighbors
- when x receives new DV estimate from neighbor, it updates its own DV using B-F equation:

 $D_x(y) \leftarrow min_v \{c(x,v) + D_v(y)\}$  for each node  $y \in N$ 

\* under minor, natural conditions, the estimate  $D_x(y)$ converge to the actual least cost  $d_x(y)$ 

#### iterative, asynchronous:

- each local iteration caused by:
- local link cost change
- DV update message from neighbor

### distributed:

- each node notifies neighbors *only* when its DV changes
  - neighbors then notify their neighbors if necessary

each node:







### Distance vector: link cost changes

### link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- updates routing info, recalculates distance vector



if DV changes, notify neighbors

"good<br/>news $t_0: y$  detects link-cost change, updates its DV, informs its<br/>neighbors.travels<br/>fast" $t_1: z$  receives update from y, updates its table, computes new<br/>least cost to x, sends its neighbors its DV.

 $t_2$ : y receives z's update, updates its distance table. y's least costs do *not* change, so y does *not* send a message to z.

\* Check out the online interactive exercises for more examples: http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose\_ross/interactive/

## Distance vector: link cost changes

### link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- bad news travels slow "count to infinity" problem!
- 44 iterations before algorithm stabilizes: see text



#### poisoned reverse:

- ✤ If Z routes through Y to get to X :
  - Z tells Y its (Z's) distance to X is infinite (so Y won't route to X via Z)
- will this completely solve count to infinity problem?

## Comparison of LS and DV algorithms

#### message complexity

- LS: with n nodes, E links, O(nE) msgs sent
- DV: exchange between neighbors only
  - convergence time varies

### speed of convergence

- LS: O(n<sup>2</sup>) algorithm requires O(nE) msgs
  - may have oscillations
- **DV:** convergence time varies
  - may be routing loops
  - count-to-infinity problem

*robustness:* what happens if router malfunctions?

LS:

- node can advertise incorrect link cost
- each node computes only its own table

#### DV:

- DV node can advertise incorrect path cost
- each node's table used by others
  - error propagate thru network

# Chapter 5: outline

- 5.1 introduction
- 5.2 routing protocols
- link state
- distance vector
- 5.3 intra-AS routing in the Internet: OSPF
- 5.4 routing among the ISPs: BGP

- 5.5 The SDN control plane
- 5.6 ICMP: The Internet Control Message Protocol
- 5.7 Network management and SNMP

## Making routing scalable

our routing study thus far - idealized

- all routers identical
- network "flat"
- ... not true in practice

# scale: with billions of destinations:

- can't store all destinations in routing tables!
- routing table exchange would swamp links!

### administrative autonomy

- internet = network of networks
- each network admin may want to control routing in its own network

## Internet approach to scalable routing

aggregate routers into regions known as "autonomous systems" (AS) (a.k.a. "domains")

### intra-AS routing

- routing among hosts, routers in same AS ("network")
- all routers in AS must run same intra-domain protocol
- routers in different AS can run different intra-domain routing protocol
- gateway router: at "edge" of its own AS, has link(s) to router(s) in other AS'es

### inter-AS routing

- routing among AS'es
- gateways perform interdomain routing (as well as intra-domain routing)

## Interconnected ASes



- forwarding table configured by both intraand inter-AS routing algorithm
  - intra-AS routing determine entries for destinations within AS
  - inter-AS & intra-AS determine entries for external destinations

## Inter-AS tasks

- suppose router in AS1 receives datagram destined outside of AS1:
  - router should forward packet to gateway router, but which one?

#### AS1 must:

- learn which dests are reachable through AS2, which through AS3
- 2. propagate this reachability info to all routers in AS1

job of inter-AS routing!



## Intra-AS Routing

- also known as interior gateway protocols (IGP)
- most common intra-AS routing protocols:
  - RIP: Routing Information Protocol
  - OSPF: Open Shortest Path First (IS-IS protocol essentially same as OSPF)
  - IGRP: Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (Cisco proprietary for decades, until 2016)

## OSPF (Open Shortest Path First)

- "open": publicly available
- uses link-state algorithm
  - link state packet dissemination
  - topology map at each node
  - route computation using Dijkstra's algorithm
- router floods OSPF link-state advertisements to all other routers in entire AS
  - carried in OSPF messages directly over IP (rather than TCP or UDP
  - link state: for each attached link
- IS-IS routing protocol: nearly identical to OSPF

## OSPF "advanced" features

- security: all OSPF messages authenticated (to prevent malicious intrusion)
- multiple same-cost paths allowed (only one path in RIP)
- for each link, multiple cost metrics for different TOS (e.g., satellite link cost set low for best effort ToS; high for real-time ToS)
- integrated uni- and multi-cast support:
  - Multicast OSPF (MOSPF) uses same topology data base as OSPF
- hierarchical OSPF in large domains.



# Hierarchical OSPF

- two-level hierarchy: local area, backbone.
  - link-state advertisements only in area
  - each nodes has detailed area topology; only know direction (shortest path) to nets in other areas.
- area border routers: "summarize" distances to nets in own area, advertise to other Area Border routers.
- backbone routers: run OSPF routing limited to backbone.
- boundary routers: connect to other AS' es.

# Chapter 5: outline

- 5.1 introduction
- 5.2 routing protocols
- link state
- distance vector
- 5.3 intra-AS routing in the Internet: OSPF
- 5.4 routing among the ISPs: BGP

- 5.5 The SDN control plane
- 5.6 ICMP: The Internet Control Message Protocol
- 5.7 Network management and SNMP