Chapter 2: outline

- 2.1 principles of network applications
- 2.2 Web and HTTP
- 2.3 electronic mail
 - SMTP, POP3, IMAP

2.4 DNS

- 2.5 P2P applications
- 2.6 video streaming and content distribution networks
- 2.7 socket programming with UDP and TCP

DNS: domain name system

people: many identifiers:

• SSN, name, passport #

Internet hosts, routers:

- IP address (32 bit) used for addressing datagrams
- "name", e.g.,
 www.yahoo.com used by humans
- Q: how to map between IP address and name, and vice versa ?

Domain Name System:

- distributed database implemented in hierarchy of many name servers
- application-layer protocol: hosts, name servers communicate to resolve names (address/name translation)
 - note: core Internet function, implemented as applicationlayer protocol
 - complexity at network's "edge"

DNS: services, structure

DNS services

- hostname to IP address translation
- host aliasing
 - canonical, alias names
- mail server aliasing
- Ioad distribution
 - replicated Web servers: many IP addresses correspond to one name

why not centralize DNS?

- single point of failure
- traffic volume
- distant centralized database
- maintenance

A: doesn't scale!

DNS: a distributed, hierarchical database



client wants IP for www.amazon.com; 1st approximation:

- client queries root server to find com DNS server
- client queries .com DNS server to get amazon.com DNS server
- client queries amazon.com DNS server to get IP address for www.amazon.com

Local DNS name server

- does not strictly belong to hierarchy
- each ISP (residential ISP, company, university) has one
 - also called "default name server"
- when host makes DNS query, query is sent to its local DNS server
 - has local cache of recent name-to-address translation pairs (but may be out of date!)
 - acts as proxy, forwards query into hierarchy

DNS: root name servers

- contacted by local name server that can not resolve name
- root name server:
 - contacts authoritative name server if name mapping not known
 - gets mapping
 - returns mapping to local name server



TLD, authoritative servers

top-level domain (TLD) servers:

- responsible for com, org, net, edu, aero, jobs, museums, and all top-level country domains, e.g.: uk, fr, ca, jp
- Network Solutions maintains servers for .com TLD
- Educause for .edu TLD

authoritative DNS servers:

- organization's own DNS server(s), providing authoritative hostname to IP mappings for organization's named hosts
- can be maintained by organization or service provider

DNS name resolution example

 host at cis.poly.edu wants IP address for gaia.cs.umass.edu

iterated query:

- contacted server replies with name of server to contact
- "I don't know this name, but ask this server"



gaia.cs.umass.edu

DNS name resolution example

recursive query:

- puts burden of name resolution on contacted name server
- heavy load at upper levels of hierarchy?



gaia.cs.umass.edu

DNS: caching, updating records

- once (any) name server learns mapping, it caches mapping
 - cache entries timeout (disappear) after some time (TTL)
 - TLD servers typically cached in local name servers
 - thus root name servers not often visited
- cached entries may be out-of-date (best effort name-to-address translation!)
 - if name host changes IP address, may not be known Internet-wide until all TTLs expire
- update/notify mechanisms proposed IETF standard
 - RFC 2136

DNS: distributed database storing resource records (RR)

RR format: (name, value, type, ttl)



- name is hostname
- value is IP address

type=NS

- **name** is domain (e.g., foo.com)
- **value** is hostname of authoritative name server for this domain

type=CNAME

- name is alias name for some "canonical" (the real) name
- www.ibm.com is really servereast.backup2.ibm.com
- value is canonical name

type=MX

 value is name of mailserver associated with name

DNS protocol, messages

query and reply messages, both with same message format

message header

- identification: 16 bit # for query, reply to query uses same #
- flags:
 - query or reply
 - recursion desired
 - recursion available
 - reply is authoritative



DNS protocol, messages



Inserting records into DNS

- example: new startup "Network Utopia"
- register name networkuptopia.com at DNS registrar (e.g., Network Solutions)
 - provide names, IP addresses of authoritative name server (primary and secondary)
 - registrar inserts two RRs into .com TLD server: (networkutopia.com, dns1.networkutopia.com, NS) (dns1.networkutopia.com, 212.212.212.1, A)
- create authoritative server type A record for www.networkuptopia.com; type MX record for networkutopia.com

Attacking DNS

DDoS attacks

- bombard root servers with traffic
 - not successful to date
 - traffic filtering
 - local DNS servers cache IPs of TLD servers, allowing root server bypass
- bombard TLD servers
 - potentially more dangerous

redirect attacks

- man-in-middle
 - Intercept queries
- DNS poisoning
 - Send bogus relies to DNS server, which caches

exploit DNS for DDoS

- send queries with spoofed source address: target IP
- requires amplification

Chapter 2: outline

- 2.1 principles of network applications
- 2.2 Web and HTTP
- 2.3 electronic mail
 - SMTP, POP3, IMAP
- 2.4 DNS

- 2.5 P2P applications
- 2.6 video streaming and content distribution networks
- 2.7 socket programming with UDP and TCP

Pure P2P architecture

- no always-on server
- arbitrary end systems directly communicate
- peers are intermittently connected and change IP addresses

examples:

- file distribution (BitTorrent)
- Streaming (KanKan)
- VoIP (Skype)



File distribution: client-server vs P2P

Question: how much time to distribute file (size F) from one server to N peers?

• peer upload/download capacity is limited resource



File distribution time: client-server

- server transmission: must sequentially send (upload) N file copies:
 - time to send one copy: F/u_s
 - time to send N copies: NF/u_s
- client: each client must download file copy
 - d_{min} = min client download rate
 - min client download time: F/d_{min}

time to distribute F to N clients using client-server approach

 d_i network U_i

increases linearly in N

 $D_{c-s} \ge max\{NF/u_{s}, F/d_{min}\}$

Application Layer 2-19

File distribution time: P2P

- server transmission: must upload at least one copy
 - time to send one copy: F/u_s
- client: each client must download file copy
 - min client download time: F/d_{min}
- clients: as aggregate must download NF bits
 - max upload rate (limiting max download rate) is $u_s + \Sigma u_i$

time to distribute F to N clients using $D_{P2P} \ge max\{F/u_{s,}, F/d_{min,}, NF/(u_s + \Sigma u_i)\}$ P2P approach

increases linearly in N ...

... but so does this, as each peer brings service capacity

 d_i

U;

network

Client-server vs. P2P: example

client upload rate = u, F/u = 1 hour, $u_s = 10u$, $d_{min} \ge u_s$



Application Layer 2-21

Chapter 2: outline

- 2.1 principles of network applications
- 2.2 Web and HTTP
- 2.3 electronic mail
 - SMTP, POP3, IMAP
- 2.4 DNS

- 2.5 P2P applications
- 2.7 socket programming with UDP and TCP