# Chapter 3 Transport Layer

#### A note on the use of these Powerpoint slides:

We're making these slides freely available to all (faculty, students, readers). They're in PowerPoint form so you see the animations; and can add, modify, and delete slides (including this one) and slide content to suit your needs. They obviously represent a *lot* of work on our part. In return for use, we only ask the following:

- If you use these slides (e.g., in a class) that you mention their source (after all, we' d like people to use our book!)
- If you post any slides on a www site, that you note that they are adapted from (or perhaps identical to) our slides, and note our copyright of this material.

Thanks and enjoy! JFK/KWR

C All material copyright 1996-2016 J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved

#### Computer Networking



*Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach* 

7<sup>th</sup> edition Jim Kurose, Keith Ross Pearson/Addison Wesley April 2016

Transport Layer 2-1

# Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 transport-layer services
- 3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

- 3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

## **Connection Management**

before exchanging data, sender/receiver "handshake":

- agree to establish connection (each knowing the other willing to establish connection)
- agree on connection parameters





```
Socket connectionSocket =
welcomeSocket.accept();
```

### Agreeing to establish a connection

#### 2-way handshake:



Q: will 2-way handshake always work in network?

- variable delays
- retransmitted messages (e.g. req\_conn(x)) due to message loss
- message reordering
- can't "see" other side

### Agreeing to establish a connection

2-way handshake failure scenarios:



Transport Layer 3-5

### TCP 3-way handshake



### TCP 3-way handshake: FSM



## TCP: closing a connection

- client, server each close their side of connection
  - send TCP segment with FIN bit = I
- respond to received FIN with ACK
  - on receiving FIN, ACK can be combined with own FIN
- simultaneous FIN exchanges can be handled

## TCP: closing a connection



# Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 transport-layer services
- 3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

- 3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

## Principles of congestion control

congestion:

- Informally: "too many sources sending too much data too fast for *network* to handle"
- different from flow control!
- manifestations:
  - lost packets (buffer overflow at routers)
  - long delays (queueing in router buffers)
- a top-10 problem!



Transport Layer 3-12

- one router, *finite* buffers
- sender retransmission of timed-out packet
  - application-layer input = application-layer output:  $\lambda_{in}$  =  $\lambda_{out}$
  - transport-layer input includes retransmissions :  $\lambda_{in} \ge \lambda_{in}$





 sender sends only when router buffers available





#### Idealization: known loss

- packets can be lost, dropped at router due to full buffers
- sender only resends if packet known to be lost





#### **Realistic:** duplicates

- packets can be lost, dropped at router due to full buffers
- sender times out prematurely, sending two copies, both of which are delivered

Α



Host B

#### **Realistic:** duplicates

- packets can be lost, dropped at router due to full buffers
- sender times out prematurely, sending two copies, both of which are delivered



### "costs" of congestion:

- more work (retrans) for given "goodput"
- unneeded retransmissions: link carries multiple copies of pkt
  - decreasing goodput

- four senders
- multihop paths
- timeout/retransmit

<u>Q</u>: what happens as  $\lambda_{in}$  and  $\lambda_{in}$  increase ?

<u>A</u>: as red  $\lambda_{in}$  increases, all arriving blue pkts at upper queue are dropped, blue throughput  $\rightarrow 0$ 





#### another "cost" of congestion:

when packet dropped, any "upstream transmission capacity used for that packet was wasted!

# Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 transport-layer services
- 3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

- 3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

#### TCP congestion control: additive increase multiplicative decrease

- approach: sender increases transmission rate (window size), probing for usable bandwidth, until loss occurs
  - additive increase: increase cwnd by I MSS every RTT until loss detected
  - *multiplicative decrease*: cut **cwnd** in half after loss



additively increase window size ...

# **TCP Congestion Control: details**



sender limits transmission:

LastByteSent- ≤ cwnd LastByteAcked

 cwnd is dynamic, function of perceived network congestion TCP sending rate:

 roughly: send cwnd bytes, wait RTT for ACKS, then send more bytes



# **TCP Slow Start**

- when connection begins, increase rate exponentially until first loss event:
  - initially cwnd = I MSS
  - double cwnd every RTT
  - done by incrementing cwnd for every ACK received
- <u>summary</u>: initial rate is slow but ramps up exponentially fast



## TCP: detecting, reacting to loss

Ioss indicated by timeout:

- cwnd set to 1 MSS;
- window then grows exponentially (as in slow start) to threshold, then grows linearly

Ioss indicated by 3 duplicate ACKs: TCP RENO

- dup ACKs indicate network capable of delivering some segments
- cwnd is cut in half window then grows linearly
- TCP Tahoe always sets cwnd to 1 (timeout or 3 duplicate acks)

## TCP: switching from slow start to CA

- Q: when should the exponential increase switch to linear?
- A: when cwnd gets to 1/2 of its value before timeout.

#### Implementation:

- variable ssthresh
- on loss event, ssthresh is set to 1/2 of cwnd just before loss event



Transmission round

## Summary: TCP Congestion Control



Transport Layer 3-27

# TCP throughput

avg. TCP thruput as function of window size, RTT?

• ignore slow start, assume always data to send

W: window size (measured in bytes) where loss occurs

- avg. window size (# in-flight bytes) is 3/4 W
- avg. thruput is 3/4W per RTT

avg TCP thruput = 
$$\frac{3}{4} \frac{W}{RTT}$$
 bytes/sec

## TCP Futures: TCP over "long, fat pipes"

- example: 1500 byte segments, 100ms RTT, want 10 Gbps throughput
- requires W = 83,333 in-flight segments
- throughput in terms of segment loss probability, L [Mathis 1997]:

TCP throughput = 
$$\frac{1.22 \cdot MSS}{RTT \sqrt{L}}$$

- → to achieve 10 Gbps throughput, need a loss rate of L
  = 2·10<sup>-10</sup> a very small loss rate!
- new versions of TCP for high-speed



#### fairness goal: if K TCP sessions share same bottleneck link of bandwidth R, each should have average rate of R/K



# Why is TCP fair?

two competing sessions:

- additive increase gives slope of I, as throughout increases
- multiplicative decrease decreases throughput proportionally



# Fairness (more)

#### Fairness and UDP

- multimedia apps often do not use TCP
  - do not want rate throttled by congestion control
- instead use UDP:
  - send audio/video at constant rate, tolerate packet loss

Fairness, parallel TCP connections

- application can open multiple parallel connections between two hosts
- web browsers do this
- e.g., link of rate R with 9 existing connections:
  - new app asks for I TCP, gets rate R/10
  - new app asks for 11 TCPs, gets R/2

## Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN)

#### network-assisted congestion control:

- two bits in IP header (ToS field) marked by network router to indicate congestion
- congestion indication carried to receiving host
- receiver (seeing congestion indication in IP datagram)) sets ECE bit on receiver-to-sender ACK segment to notify sender of congestion



# Chapter 3: summary

- principles behind transport layer services:
  - multiplexing, demultiplexing
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - congestion control
- instantiation, implementation in the Internet
  - UDP
  - TCP

#### next:

- leaving the network "edge" (application, transport layers)
- into the network "core"
- two network layer chapters:
  - data plane
  - control plane